

HYDRODYNAMICS OF RELATIVISTIC FIREBALLS

Tsvi Piran,^{1,2} Amotz Shemi³ and Ramesh Narayan¹

1. Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.
2. Racah Institute for Physics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel.
3. Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.

Summary

We examine analytically and numerically the evolution of a relativistic fireball. We show that, after an early rearrangement phase, most of the matter and energy in the fireball is concentrated within a narrow shell. The shell propagates at nearly the speed of light, with a frozen radial profile and according to a simple set of scaling laws. The spectrum of the escaping radiation is harder at early times and softer later on. The results are applicable to models of γ -ray bursts.

Key Words: hydrodynamics–relativity–gamma rays: bursts

1. Introduction

The sudden release of a large quantity of gamma ray photons into a compact region can lead to an opaque photon–lepton “fireball” through the production of electron–positron pairs. The term “fireball” refers here to an opaque radiation–plasma whose initial energy is significantly greater than its rest mass. The formation and evolution of fireballs is of interest in astrophysics (Cavallo & Rees 1978), especially for the understanding of gamma-ray bursts at cosmological distances (Goodman 1986, Paczynski 1986, 1990, Shemi & Piran 1990, Narayan, Paczyński & Piran 1992, Mészáros and Rees 1992a,b), or in the halo of the Galaxy (Piran & Shemi 1993).

In this paper we investigate the hydrodynamics of fireballs. We begin by summarizing in this section several qualitative results that are already known in this problem. Because of the opacity due to pairs, the radiation in a fireball cannot initially escape. Instead, the fireball expands and cools rapidly until the temperature drops below the pair–production threshold and the plasma becomes transparent. In addition to radiation and e^+e^- pairs, astrophysical fireballs may also include some baryonic matter which may be injected with the original radiation or may be present in an atmosphere surrounding the initial explosion. The electrons associated with this matter increase the opacity, delaying the escape of radiation. More importantly, the baryons are accelerated with the rest of the fireball and convert part of the radiation energy into bulk kinetic energy.

As the fireball evolves two important transitions take place. One transition corresponds to the change from optically thick to optically thin conditions. As long as the total opacity (pairs + matter) is large the plasma expands adiabatically as a perfect fluid (Goodman 1986). However, once τ drops below 1, the photons and baryons decouple from each other and continue their evolution independently and without interaction. The second transition corresponds to the switch from radiation dominated to matter dominated

conditions, i.e from $\eta > 1$ to $\eta < 1$, where η is the ratio of the radiation energy E to the rest energy M : $\eta \equiv E/Mc^2$ (Cavallo & Rees 1978, Shemi & Piran 1990). In the early radiation dominated stages when $\eta > 1$, the fluid accelerates in the process of expansion, reaching relativistic velocities and large Lorentz factors. The kinetic energy too increases proportionately. However, later when $\eta < 1$, the fireball becomes matter dominated and the kinetic energy is comparable to the total initial energy. The fluid therefore coasts with a constant radial speed. The overall outcome of the evolution of a fireball then depends critically on the value of η when τ reaches unity. If $\eta > 1$ when $\tau = 1$ most of the energy comes out as high energy radiation, whereas if $\eta < 1$ at this stage most of the energy has already been converted into kinetic energy of the baryons.

The opacity itself has a contribution from electron-positron pairs as well as electrons associated with the baryons. Initially, when the local temperature T is large, the opacity is dominated by e^+e^- pairs (Goodman, 1986). But this opacity, τ_p , decreases exponentially with decreasing temperature, and falls to unity when $T = T_p \approx 20$ KeV. The matter opacity, τ_b , on the other hand decreases only as R^{-2} , where R is the radius of the fireball. If at the point where $\tau_p = 1$, τ_b is still > 1 , then the final transition to $\tau = 1$ is delayed and occurs at a cooler temperature.

The initial ratio of radiation energy to mass, η_i , determines in what order the above transitions take place. Shemi and Piran (1990) identified four regimes: (i) $\eta_i > \eta_{pair} = [3\sigma_T^2 E_i \sigma T_p^4 / 4\pi m_p^2 c^4 R_i]^{1/2}$ (where E_i and R_i are the initial energy and radius): In this regime the effect of the baryons is negligible and the evolution is of a pure photon-lepton fireball. When the temperature reaches T_p , the pair opacity τ_p drops to 1 and $\tau_b \ll 1$. At this point the fireball is radiation dominated ($\eta > 1$) and so most of the energy escapes as radiation. (ii) $\eta_{pair} > \eta_i > \eta_b = (3\sigma_T E_i / 8\pi m_p c^2 R_i^2)^{1/3}$: Here, in the late stages, the opacity is dominated by free electrons associated with the baryons. The comoving

temperature therefore decreases far below T_p before τ reaches unity. However, the fireball continues to be radiation dominated as in the previous case, and most of the energy still escapes as radiation. (iii) $\eta_b > \eta_i > 1$: The fireball becomes matter dominated before it becomes optically thin. Therefore, most of the initial energy is converted into bulk kinetic energy of the baryons, with a final Lorentz factor $\bar{\gamma}_f = \eta_i + 1$. (iv) $\eta_i < 1$: This is the Newtonian regime. The rest energy exceeds the radiation energy and the expansion never becomes relativistic.

The above summary describes the qualitative features of a roughly homogeneous expanding fireball. In this paper we investigate some aspects of the evolution of inhomogeneous fireballs with a non-uniform radial profile. We show in §2 that, after an initial rearrangement phase, the evolution is well described by an asymptotic large γ solution. The radial profile of the fireball remains frozen over most of this phase, and each streamline follows simple scaling laws as a function of radius. In §3 we solve numerically the adiabatic expansion of a spherical fireball and compare the results with the asymptotic solution. We show that the agreement with the theoretical solution is good. Finally in §4 we summarize the results and discuss their implications.

2. Scaling Laws and Asymptotic Solutions

We consider a spherical fireball with an arbitrary radial distribution of radiation and matter. Under optically thick conditions there is strong coupling between the photons and baryons, and so the radiation and matter at each radius behave like a single fluid, moving with the same velocity. Since the radiation pressure dominates, the pressure p and the energy density e are related by $p = e/3$, and we can rewrite the standard relativistic conservation equations of baryon number and energy momentum (Weinberg, 1973) as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(n\gamma) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2 n u) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{3/4}\gamma) + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2e^{3/4}u) = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\left(n + \frac{4}{3}e \right) \gamma u \right] + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[r^2 \left(n + \frac{4}{3}e \right) u^2 \right] = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial e}{\partial r}, \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma = u^t$, $u = u^r = \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}$, and we use units in which $c = 1$ and the mass of the particles $m = 1$. The mass density, n , the total energy density, e (which includes contributions from the radiation as well as the relativistic electron-positron pairs at temperatures where the latter are present), and the pressure, p , are measured in the local frame of the fluid, but r and t are in the observer frame.

Change variables from r, t to $r, s = t - r$. Equations (1)–(3) then become

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2nu) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{n}{\gamma + u} \right), \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2e^{3/4}u) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{e^{3/4}}{\gamma + u} \right), \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[r^2 \left(n + \frac{4}{3}e \right) u^2 \right] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[\left(n + \frac{4}{3}e \right) \frac{u}{\gamma + u} \right] + \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{\partial e}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial e}{\partial r} \right], \quad (6)$$

where the derivative $\partial/\partial r$ now refers to constant s , i.e. is calculated along a characteristic moving outward at the speed of light. After a short acceleration phase we expect that the motion of a fluid shell will become highly relativistic ($\gamma \gg 1$). If we restrict our attention to the evolution of the fireball from this point on, we may treat γ^{-1} as a small parameter and set $\gamma \approx u$, which is good to order $o(\gamma^{-2})$. Then, under a wide range of conditions, which we discuss below, the quantities on the right-hand sides of equations (4)–(6) are significantly smaller than those on the left. We therefore set the terms on the right to zero, and obtain the following conservation laws for each fluid shell:

$$r^2n\gamma = \text{constant}, \quad r^2e^{3/4}\gamma = \text{constant}, \quad r^2 \left(n + \frac{4}{3}e \right) \gamma^2 = \text{constant}. \quad (7)$$

Two regimes of behavior are then immediately apparent. In the radiation-dominated phase ($e \gg n$), we have

$$\gamma \propto r, \quad n \propto r^{-3}, \quad e \propto r^{-4}, \quad T_{obs} \sim \text{constant}, \quad (8)$$

where $T_{obs} \propto \gamma e^{1/4}$ is the temperature of the radiation as seen by an observer at infinity. (Strictly, the radiation temperature depends on e_r , the energy density of the photon field alone; for $T \ll m_e c^2$, $e_r = e$, but for $T > m_e c^2$, e contains an additional contribution from the electron positron pairs, see Shemi & Piran 1990; we neglect this complication for simplicity). The scalings of n and e given in (8) correspond to those of a fluid expanding uniformly in the comoving frame. Indeed, all four scalings in eq (8) were derived for a homogeneous radiation dominated fireball by Shemi & Piran (1991, see also Goodman 1986) by noting the analogy with an expanding universe. What we have shown here is that the same relations are valid for each individual radial shell in the fireball even in the more general inhomogeneous case. In fact, these scaling laws also apply to Paczyński's (1986) solution for a steady state relativistic wind. When we neglect the right hand sides of eqs. (4)–(6) the problem becomes effectively only r dependent.

Although the fluid is approximately homogeneous in its own frame, because of Lorentz contraction it appears as a narrow shell in the observer frame, with a radial width given by $\Delta r \sim r/\gamma \sim \text{constant} \sim R_i$, where R_i is the initial radius of the fireball. We can now go back to eqs (4)–(6) and set $\partial/\partial s \sim \gamma/r$. We then find that the terms we neglected on the right hand sides of these equations are smaller than the terms on the left by a factor $\sim 1/\gamma$. Therefore, the conservation laws (7) and the scalings (8) are valid so long as the radiation-dominated fireball expands ultra-relativistically with large γ . The only possible exception is in the very outermost layers of the fireball where the pressure gradient may be extremely steep and $\partial/\partial s$ may be $\gg \gamma/r$. Ignoring this minor deviation, we interpret

eq (7) and the constancy of the radial width Δr in the observer frame to mean that the fireball behaves like a pulse of energy with a frozen radial profile, accelerating outward at almost the speed of light.

In the alternate matter-dominated regime ($e \ll n$), we obtain from eq (7) the following different set of scalings,

$$\gamma \rightarrow \text{constant}, \quad n \propto r^{-2}, \quad e \propto r^{-8/3}, \quad T_{obs} \propto r^{-2/3}. \quad (9)$$

The modified scalings of n and e arise because the fireball now moves with a constant radial width in the comoving frame. (The steeper fall-off of e with r is because of the work done by the radiation through tangential expansion.) Moreover, since $e \ll n$, the radiation has no important dynamical effect on the motion and produces no significant radial acceleration. Therefore, γ remains constant on streamlines and the fluid coasts with a constant asymptotic radial velocity. Of course, since each shell moves with a velocity that is slightly less than c and that is different from one shell to the next, the frozen pulse approximation on which eq (7) is based must ultimately break down at some large radius. We consider this question below, but first continue with our investigation of the approximate relations in eq (7).

A scaling solution that is valid in both the radiation-dominated and matter-dominated regimes, as well as in the transition zone in between, can be obtained by combining the conserved quantities in eq (7) appropriately. Let t_0 be the time and r_0 be the radius at which a fluid shell in the fireball first becomes ultra-relativistic, with $\gamma \gtrsim \text{few}$. Label various properties of the shell at this time by a subscript 0, e.g. γ_0 , n_0 , e_0 , and define $\eta = e/n$, $\eta_0 = e_0/n_0$. Defining the auxiliary quantity D , where

$$\frac{1}{D} \equiv \frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma} + \frac{3\gamma_0}{4\eta_0\gamma} - \frac{3}{4\eta_0}, \quad (10)$$

we find that

$$r = r_0 \frac{\gamma_0^{1/2} D^{3/2}}{\gamma^{1/2}}, \quad n = \frac{n_0}{D^3}, \quad e = \frac{e_0}{D^4}, \quad \eta = \frac{\eta_0}{D}. \quad (11)$$

These are parametric relations which give r , n , e , and η of each fluid shell at any time in terms of the γ of the shell at that time. The relation for r in terms of γ is a cubic equation. This can in principle be inverted to yield $\gamma(r)$, and thereby n , e , and η may also be expressed in terms of r .

The parametric solution (11) describes both the radiation-dominated and matter-dominated phases of the fireball within the frozen pulse approximation. For $\gamma \ll \eta_0 \gamma_0$, the first term in eq (10) dominates and we find $D \propto r$, $\gamma \propto r$, recovering the radiation-dominated scalings of eq (8). This regime extends out to a radius $r \sim \eta_0 r_0$. At larger radii, the first and last terms in (10) become comparable and γ tends to its asymptotic value of $\gamma_f = (4\eta_0/3 + 1)\gamma_0$. This is the matter dominated regime. (The transition occurs when $4e/3 = n$, which happens when $\gamma = \gamma_f/2$.) In this regime, $D \propto r^{2/3}$, leading to the scalings in eq (9).

Ultimately, all the energy in the fireball is concentrated in the kinetic energy of the matter, and this determines the value of γ_f . Interestingly, if we write γ_f in terms of the initial parameters of the fireball at time $t = 0$, we find $\gamma_f = \eta_i + 1$, whereas, when we write it in terms of η_0 , γ_0 , we have the additional factor of $4/3$ as written above. Both formulae represent energy conservation, but the component T^{tt} of the energy momentum tensor behaves differently in the two cases. At time $t = 0$, the fluid is at rest and the radiation energy density is merely e , whereas at $t = t_0$, the fluid is already moving highly relativistically and there is an additional contribution to the energy from the moving pressure, $T^{tt} = \gamma_0^2 e + (1/3)u_0^2 e \sim (4/3)\gamma_0^2 e$.

Let us now return to a consideration of very late times in the matter-dominated phase when the frozen pulse approximation begins to break down. We have already seen that

in this phase the radiation density e is much smaller than the matter density n , and also that γ tends to a constant value γ_f for each shell. We may therefore neglect the term $-(1/3)(\partial e/\partial r)$ in eq (3) and treat γ and u in eqs (1)–(3) as constants. We then find that the flow moves strictly along the characteristic, $\beta_f t - r = \text{constant}$, so that each fluid shell coasts at a constant radial speed, $\beta_f = u_f/\gamma_f$. Let us label the baryonic shells in the fireball by a Lagrangian coordinate R , moving with a fixed Lorentz factor $\gamma_f(R)$, and let t_c and r_c represent the time and radius at which the coasting phase begins, which corresponds essentially to the point at which the fluid makes the transition from being radiation dominated to matter dominated. We then find

$$r(t, R) - r_c(R) = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_f^2(R) - 1}}{\gamma_f(R)}(t - t_c(R)) \approx \left[1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma_f^2(R)}\right][t - t_c(r)]. \quad (12)$$

The separation between two neighboring shells separated by a Lagrangian distance ΔR varies during the coasting phase as

$$\left[\frac{d(\partial r/\partial R)}{dt}\right] \Delta R = \left[\frac{1}{\gamma_f(R)^3} \frac{\partial \gamma_f}{\partial R}\right] \Delta R. \quad (13)$$

Thus the width of the pulse at time t is $\Delta r(t) \approx \Delta r_c + \Delta \gamma_f(t - t_c)/\bar{\gamma}_f^3 \approx R_i + (t - t_c)/\bar{\gamma}_f^2$, where $\Delta r_c \sim R_i$ is the width of the fireball when it begins coasting, $\bar{\gamma}_f$ is the mean γ_f in the pulse, and $\Delta \gamma_f \sim \bar{\gamma}_f$ is the spread of γ_f across the pulse. From this result we see that there are two separate regimes in the fireball evolution even within the matter dominated coasting phase. So long as $t - t_c < \bar{\gamma}_f^2 R_i$, we have a frozen-coasting phase in which Δr is approximately constant and the frozen pulse approximation is valid. In this regime the scalings in eq (9) are satisfied. However, when $t - t_c > \bar{\gamma}_f^2 R_i$, the fireball switches to an expanding-coasting phase where $\Delta r \propto t - t_c$ and the pulse width grows linearly with time. In this regime the scaling of n reverts to $n \propto r^{-3}$, and, if the radiation is still coupled to the matter, $e \propto r^{-4}$.

Independently of the above considerations, at some point during the expansion, the fireball will become optically thin and the radiation will decouple. From this stage on the radiation and the baryons no longer move with the same velocity and the radiation pressure vanishes, leading to a breakdown of equations (2) and (3). The radiation will now coast with a speed exactly equal to c and with a constant radial width. The radiation energy density will clearly scale as $e \propto r^{-2}$. The baryon shells on the other hand will coast with their own individual velocities. If the fireball is already in the matter dominated coasting phase there will be no change in the propagation of the baryons. However, if the fireball is in the radiation dominated phase when it becomes optically thin, then the baryons will switch immediately to a coasting phase.

3. Numerical Results

We have developed a spherically symmetric relativistic code that follows the evolution of a mass-loaded fireball from an initial configuration at rest via the acceleration phase into the asymptotic frozen pulse regime. The code is Eulerian and employs a second order conserved scheme (Bowers and Wilson, 1991) modified to take care of the extreme relativistic Lorentz factors encountered in this problem. Our scheme is quite different from the one used by Vitello and Salvati (1976), who studied a similar problem. The code has passed several standard tests, including the Richardson test, i.e. the results converge satisfactorily as the grid size is decreased.

The initial profiles for the cases that we present here are:

$$e(r, t = 0) = \frac{e_0}{(R_i^8 + r^8)}, \quad n(r, 0) = \frac{e(r, 0)}{\eta_i}, \quad \gamma(r, 0) = 1, \quad (14)$$

where we choose R_i , the initial width of the fireball, to be unity. The initial radiation density e_0 is in arbitrary units, and we assume a constant ratio η_i of energy to mass. The

energy density falls off sufficiently rapidly with radius in the initial profile that the external density is negligible compared to the interior density. However, we cannot set the exterior density exactly to zero since this leads to numerical problems. We have explored different initial conditions, and find different pulse shapes, but the overall qualitative behavior is generally similar to the results described below.

Fig. 1.a shows a sequence of profiles in the observer frame of the energy density, γe , and the mass density, γn , for a simulation with $\eta_i = 50$. Three phases of evolution are apparent, viz. an initial acceleration/rearrangement phase, a short radiation dominated phase, and a final matter dominated phase. Conservation of energy requires that, asymptotically, the average Lorentz factor of the expanding fluid should be $\bar{\gamma}_f = \eta_i + 1$. We compute $\bar{\gamma}$ at each stage by means of

$$\bar{\gamma} = \frac{\int T^{tt} r^2 dr}{\sqrt{(\int T^{tt} r^2 dr)^2 - (\int T^{tr} r^2 dr)^2}} = \frac{\int T^{tt} r^2 dr}{\sqrt{[\int (T^{tt} + T^{tr}) r^2 dr][\int (T^{tt} - T^{tr}) r^2 dr]}}, \quad (15)$$

where the second expression is preferable for numerical accuracy. The average Lorentz factor in this simulation does approach the expected asymptotic value, but it does not quite reach it because we did not let the computation continue for a long enough time.

Early in the evolution, the pulse rearranges itself during a brief acceleration phase. Although with our choice of initial data the ratio between the energy and mass density, η , begins with a constant value throughout the fireball (eq 14), η changes during this phase and no longer remains constant within the pulse. Generally, η ends up being smaller in the inner parts of the fireball and larger on the outside. This can be seen in Fig. 2 which shows n , e and γ at a fixed moment of time after the end of the early phase of rearrangement. After this phase, the shape of the pulse is frozen and the fireball evolves through a radiation-dominated phase to a matter-dominated state. The transition from radiation dominated to matter dominated can be clearly seen in Fig. 1.a where the matter

density is initially lower than the energy density but becomes larger by the end of the computation.

The profile of the Lorentz factor γ at various times in the pulse is shown in Fig. 1.b. We see that γ varies significantly across the pulse. Whereas the mean $\bar{\gamma}_f$ cannot exceed the asymptotic value of $\eta_i + 1$, the maximum Lorentz factor within the pulse is larger and in fact increases throughout the evolution. This happens as the outermost layers of the fireball keep accelerating, as can be seen in Fig. 2. The Lorentz factor therefore peaks ahead of the energy density in a low density region, and a small fraction of the material is accelerated to these high γ values. The peak in γ leads to the highest observed temperature coming from the front of the fireball and to lower temperatures coming from the interior (Fig. 1.b).

In Fig. 2 we compare the calculated pulse at $t = 153.7$ to a pulse extrapolated using eqs. (10)–(11) from $t = 14$. The agreement is very good considering that the energy and matter density have decreased by four and three orders of magnitude, respectively, while the maximal Lorentz factor has increased by a factor of six between these two moments. The agreement is excellent in the inner region of the pulse but is less satisfactory on the outside. This is partly due to continued acceleration of the outer regions where the pressure gradient is steepest, and partly because of decreased numerical accuracy in the regions where the density is very low.

Fig. 1.b shows profiles of T_{obs} , the temperature that would be observed at infinity if the radiation could escape. At early times, there is a drop in T_{obs} due to the broadening of the pulse as a result of internal rearrangement. Then, during the subsequent radiation dominated phase, $T \propto \gamma^{-1}$ (eq 8), and the observed temperature T_{obs} of each shell remains a constant. The overall spectrum of a fireball that becomes optically thin during this phase is a blending of thermal spectra with different temperatures and different blueshifts,

and will be slightly broader than a single temperature thermal spectrum (see Goodman, 1986). The spectrum does not change during the radiation dominated phase, apart from a minor effect due to the addition of a harder component from the acceleration of the outermost layers of the fireball. When a given shell enters the matter dominated phase T_{obs} begins to decrease, since T continues to decrease but now without a compensating increase in γ (eq 9). The result is a softer spectrum than that observed during the radiation dominated phase. The emitted spectrum depends now on the moment that each shell becomes optically thin. Since different shells become optically thin at different values of γ we expect the spectrum to be broader than that emitted during the radiation dominated phase.

The evolution of a relativistic radiation fireball that we have described here and in §2 is remarkably different from that of a Newtonian fireball with $\eta_i \ll 1$. Fig. 3 shows the energy density and the mass density for a pulse with $\eta_i = 0.001$. None of the features described earlier appear. Relativistic velocities are never reached and the shape of the pulse is not frozen. Instead we observe an expanding, almost homogeneous sphere, rather than an expanding shell of matter and radiation, and the expansion velocity of most of the fireball is roughly the Newtonian velocity $\sqrt{2\eta_i} = 0.045$. A negligible fraction of the matter on the surface is accelerated to higher speeds. Interestingly, the Newtonian fireball bears a strong qualitative resemblance to the relativistic fireball in the local frame. Therefore, the differences between the two cases arise mainly because of the transformation to the observer frame. In the Newtonian case there is no difference between the observer frame and the matter local frame, but in the relativistic case Lorentz contraction leads to a drastic change in the appearance of the fireball. Therefore, in the former case the fireball appears to fill the entire sphere of radius r whereas in the latter case the observer sees a

narrow pulse whose width remains of the same order as the original width, leading to a time scale $\sim R_i/c$.

4. Conclusions

We have shown in this paper that fireballs with a large initial ratio η_i of radiation energy to rest mass energy show certain common global features during their expansion and evolution. After a short initial acceleration phase, the fluid reaches relativistic velocities, and the energy and mass become concentrated in a radial pulse whose shape remains frozen in the subsequent expansion. The motion is then described by an asymptotic solution (eqs 10, 11, §2), which gives for each individual shell scaling laws similar to those of a homogeneous sphere.

The expanding fireball has two basic phases: a radiation dominated phase and a matter dominated phase. Initially, during the radiation dominated phase the fluid accelerates with $\gamma \propto r$ for each Lagrangian shell. The fireball is roughly homogeneous in its local rest frame but due to the Lorentz contraction its width in the observer frame is $\Delta r \approx R_i$, the initial size of the fireball. When the mean Lorentz factor of the fireball becomes $\bar{\gamma} \approx (\eta_i + 1)/2$ a transition takes place to the matter dominated phase. Ultimately, all the energy becomes concentrated in the kinetic energy of the matter, and the matter coasts asymptotically with a final Lorentz factor $\bar{\gamma}_f \approx (\eta_i + 1)$. The matter dominated phase is itself further divided into two sub-phases. At first, there is a frozen-coasting phase in which the fireball expands as a shell of fixed radial width in its own local frame, with a width $\sim \bar{\gamma}_f R_i \sim \eta_i R_i$. Because of Lorentz contraction the pulse appears to an observer with a width $\Delta r \approx R_i$. Eventually, the spread in $\bar{\gamma}_f$ as a function of radius within the fireball results in a spreading of the pulse and the fireball enters the coasting-expanding phase. In this final phase, $\Delta r \approx R_i t / \bar{\gamma}_f^2$, and the observed pulse width increases linearly with time.

The fireball can become optically thin in any of the above phases. Once this happens the system ceases to behave like a fluid, and the radiation moves as a pulse with a constant width, while the baryons enter a coasting phase like the one described above.

We have verified many of these theoretical results by means of numerical simulations of spherically symmetric relativistic fireballs (§3). In particular, we confirm that the asymptotic solution with frozen pulse shape is reproduced to a good approximation. This is a very useful result since it implies that in future it is not necessary to carry out numerical simulations to very late times. As soon as the Lorentz factor of the expanding fluid reaches a moderately large value, say $\bar{\gamma} \sim 10$, we can use the theoretical results to extrapolate the pulse. This will provide a huge saving in computation, particularly in cases where $\eta_i \gg 1$ and the asymptotic $\bar{\gamma}$ is very large.

An important aspect of fireball evolution that can be studied only by numerical simulations is the early stages of rearrangement. During this phase the fireball is still only mildly relativistic and it internally modifies the profiles of energy and matter density. From a number of simulations with different initial conditions, we find that the ratio of energy to matter density, η , usually ends up with a lower value in the interior of the fireball and with large values on the outside. The Lorentz factor γ also invariably increases from the inside out. These modified profiles enter the frozen pulse phase and then do not change any further. Consequently, it appears to be a generic feature that any radiation that escapes from the fireball will be hot on the outside and cooler on the inside. In other words, the observed radiation pulse will tend always to have a spectral profile showing a characteristic hard-to-soft transition as a function of time. This effect will be enhanced if the early radiation from the outside is emitted in the radiation-dominated phase and the later radiation from the interior is released from matter-dominated layers. The hard-to-soft signature will be even stronger in this case. Even if the radiation is not obtained directly from the

fireball, but through shock re-radiation as the fireball interacts with external matter, this feature should still be present.

The discussion in this paper has been restricted to general issues related to the evolution of relativistic fireballs. The most immediate application of these results is to cosmological and Galactic halo models of gamma-ray bursts. Although these models differ in their explanations of the origin of the gamma-rays, all of them involve a stage where the initially injected energy goes through a fireball phase. Therefore, the scaling laws that we have written down for the matter and energy density, the temperature, and the Lorentz factor γ will be relevant. Also, the hard-to-soft spectral evolution described above should be observed in each sub-burst and possibly across the whole burst as well. In fact, this prediction is probably valid regardless of how the final observed radiation is produced, whether it be through direct emission from the fireball when it becomes optically thin (Goodman 1986, Paczyński 1986, Shemi & Piran 1990) or through shock re-emission (Mészáros & Rees 1992a,b).

This work was supported by NASA grant NAG 5-1904 to Harvard University and by a BSF grant to the Hebrew University..

References

- Bowers R., L. & Wilson J. R., 1991. *Numerical Modeling in Applied Physics and Astrophysics*, Jones and Bartlett, Boston.
- Cavallo, G. & Rees, M. J., 1978. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.*, **183**, 359.
- Goodman, J., 1986. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, **308**, L47.
- Mészáros, P. & Rees, M. J., 1992a. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.*, **258**, 41p.
- Mészáros, P. & Rees, M. J., 1992b. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, in press.
- Narayan, R., Paczyński, B. & Piran, T., 1992. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, **395**, L83.
- Paczynski, B., 1986. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, **308**, L51.
- Paczynski, B., 1990. *Astrophys. J.*, **363**, 218.
- Piran, T. & Shemi, A., 1993. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, in press.
- Shemi, A. & Piran, T., 1990. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, **365**, L55.
- Vitello, P. & Salvati, M. 1976. *Phys. of Fluids*, **19**, 1523.
- Weinberg, S., 1973. *Gravitation and Cosmology*, Wiley, New York.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1: (a) Energy density, $e\gamma$ (solid lines), and mass density, $n\gamma$ (dotted lines), in the observer frame for a numerical simulation where the initial energy to mass ratio $\eta_i = 50$. b. Lorentz factor, γ (solid lines), and observed temperature, $T_{obs} = \gamma T = \gamma e^{1/4}$ (dotted lines). The temperature scale is arbitrary.

Fig 2: Calculated (solid lines) and extrapolated (dotted lines) n , e and γ profiles at $t = 153.7$ (the end of the computation). The extrapolation is from $t = 14$ (shortly after the end of the rearrangement phase) using eqs. (10)–(11). The agreement is best in the trailing edge of the pulse in the interior of the fireball and is less satisfactory in the leading edge. This is because of the combined effects of the steep pressure gradient and the loss of numerical accuracy at lower densities.

Fig. 3: Energy density, e (solid lines), and mass density, n (dotted lines), for a Newtonian or non-relativistic fireball with $\eta_i = 0.001$. Note that, while the final time is the same as in Fig. 1, the pulse has propagated a much shorter distance. There is no hint of a shell structure or a frozen pulse shape here, in contrast to the relativistic case shown in Fig. 1.